Design and Antimicrobial Action of Purine Analogues That Bind Guanine Riboswitches

Jane N. Kim^{†,||}, Kenneth F. Blount^{†,||, \perp}, Izabela Puskarz^{†, \perp}, Jinsoo Lim^{†,1|}, Kristian H. Link^{†,§}, and Ronald R. Breaker^{†,§,‡,*}

[†]Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, and [‡]Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, P.O. Box 208103, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103, [§]Howard Hughes Medical Institute. ^IThese authors contributed equally to this work. [⊥]Current address: BioRelix, Inc., 5 Science Park, New Haven, CT 06511. ^{II}Current address: Idenix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 60 Hampshire Street, Cambridge, MA 02139.

urrently used antibiotics act on only a few cellular processes (1-3), and bacteria are steadily becoming resistant to known drugs (4, 5). Common classes of antibiotics bind to diverse targets, including enzymes in the folic acid biosynthesis pathway (6), cell wall biosynthesis components (7), DNA topoisomerase enzymes (8), and ribosomes (9). However, novel antibacterial agents that affect different cellular systems are needed to combat emerging antibioticsresistant bacteria. Unfortunately, it is challenging to identify critical processes and pathways that are sufficiently widespread and conserved to permit the development of broad-spectrum antibiotics.

The involvement of ribosomes in the mechanisms of antibacterial agents is intriguing because they carry the only noncoding RNAs that are targeted by commercial antibiotics. However, numerous classes of noncoding RNAs are being discovered in bacteria (*10*), which may offer new RNA-based targets for antibacterial compound development. We have been particularly interested in determining whether riboswitches (*11–15*) could act as such targets.

Riboswitches are structured RNA elements that are usually located in the 5' untranslated regions (UTRs) of bacterial mRNAs, though plant and fungal riboswitches have also been characterized (16-20). Riboswitches selectively bind to small molecules, and ligand binding typically results in the regulation of genes that are involved in the biosynthesis or transport of the cognate ligand. Each riboswitch class generally consists of two regions, an aptamer domain and an expression platform. The aptamer is a conserved, structured receptor that selectively recognizes the ligand. The expression platform adopts different folded conformations depending on the

ABSTRACT Riboswitches are structured RNA domains that can bind directly to specific ligands and regulate gene expression. These RNA elements are located most commonly within the noncoding regions of bacterial mRNAs, although representatives of one riboswitch class have been discovered in organisms from all three domains of life. In several Gram-positive species of bacteria, riboswitches that selectively recognize guanine regulate the expression of genes involved in purine biosynthesis and transport. Because these genes are involved in fundamental metabolic pathways in certain bacterial pathogens, guanine-binding riboswitches may be targets for the development of novel antibacterial compounds. To explore this possibility, the atomic-resolution structure of a guanine riboswitch aptamer from Bacillus subtilis was used to guide the design of several riboswitchcompatible guanine analogues. The ability of these compounds to be bound by the riboswitch and repress bacterial growth was examined. Many of these rationally designed compounds are bound by a guanine riboswitch aptamer in vitro with affinities comparable to that of the natural ligand, and several also inhibit bacterial growth. We found that one of these antimicrobial guanine analogues (6-N-hydroxylaminopurine, or G7) represses expression of a reporter gene controlled by a guanine riboswitch in B. subtilis, suggesting it may inhibit bacterial growth by triggering guanine riboswitch action. These studies demonstrate the utility of a threedimensional structure model of a natural aptamer to design ligand analogues that target riboswitches. This approach also could be implemented to design antibacterial compounds that specifically target other riboswitch classes.

*Corresponding author, ronald.breaker@yale.edu.

Received for review June 26, 2009 and accepted September 9, 2009. Published online September 9, 2009 10.1021/cb900146k CCC: \$40.75 © 2009 American Chemical Society

chernical



Figure 1. Guanine riboswitches and guanine riboswitch-controlled genes in *B. subtilis*. a) Sequence and secondary structure of the *xpt-pbuX* guanine riboswitch from *B. subtilis*. Base-paired stems are denoted P1, P2, and P3, and loops are designated L2 and L3. Circled nucleotides identify those that undergo reduction of spontaneous cleavage during in-line probing reactions containing guanine concentrations above the K_D , and positions are numbered as in Figure 3, panel b. Shaded nucleotides identify alternative pairing for the formation of the putative anti-terminator stem that may form when ligand is absent. Asterisks indicate nucleotides added to the RNA sequence to facilitate synthesis when this construct was prepared by *in vitro* transcription. b) Purine metabolic pathways in *B. subtilis* (47, 78, 79). Genes essential for *B. subtilis* growth (47) are highlighted in red; genes regulated by guanine riboswitches (37) are depicted in blue. c) The effects of the disruption of all four guanine riboswitch-controlled transcriptional units in *B. subtilis*. Growth curves of a wild-type *B. subtilis* strain (wild type) and a strain with all guanine riboswitch-controlled transcription, and *nupG* riboswitches and carries the *pur* operon downstream of a xylose-inducible promoter. The average of three independent growth assays is shown. Error bars depict the standard deviation of three separate assays and were not added when the error was less than the width of the points.

occupation state of the aptamer, and the structure of the expression platform ultimately establishes whether the associated genes are expressed. Most known riboswitches are involved in feedback control wherein the build-up of an essential metabolite triggers the down-regulation of genes whose protein

products make or import more of the metabolite. In these cases, it is predicted that analogues of the natural ligand could bind to a riboswitch and cause repression of genes necessary for maintaining an adequate concentration of the metabolite. Riboswitch-mediated repression of genes necessary to synthesize or import essential metabolites may cause inhibition of bacterial growth or even bacterial cell death.

Previous studies have examined the potential utility of several riboswitch classes as antibacterial drug targets (21). For example, the thiamin pyrophosphate (TPP) analogue pyrithiamine pyrophosphate binds to TPP riboswitches in vitro (22-25) and can inhibit bacterial and fungal growth, likely at least in part by binding to TPP riboswitches and repressing the expression of thiamin biosynthesis and transport genes (26-30). Also, lysine analogues such as L-aminoethylcysteine (AEC) and DL-4-oxalysine are known to bind to lysine riboswitches and repress gene expression of riboswitch-controlled genes (31, 32). However, the antimicrobial effects of compounds such as AEC may also be caused by their incorporation into proteins (33). Furthermore, it was recently discovered that the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) analogue roseoflavin can bind to an FMN riboswitch in vitro (21, 34, 35) and possibly inhibits B. subtilis growth by repressing the expression of genes controlled by FMN riboswitches (35, 36). Other riboswitch classes also regulate fundamental metabolic pathways (11–15) and thus have the potential to become antibiotic targets. One example is the guanine riboswitch class (37), which also has one of the most highly characterized three-dimensional structures (38-44), making it an ideal candidate for structure-based drug design.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Guanine Riboswitch-Controlled Genes in *B. subtilis.* Guanine riboswitches are found in several Grampositive species of bacteria, including the pathogens *Bacillus anthracis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*37, 45*). Often, as in the case of the *xpt-pbuX* guanine riboswitch in *B. subtilis* (Figure 1, panel a), a guanine riboswitch forms a transcription terminator stem in response to ligand binding and thereby represses the expression of the downstream gene or set of genes. *B. subtilis* carries four highly conserved guanine riboswitches that collectively regulate the expression of the purine transport and metabolism genes *xpt-pbuX, pbuG*, and *nupG* (formerly named *yxjA*) (*46*), as well as the 12-gene operon responsible for *de novo* purine biosynthesis (*pur* operon) (Figure 1, panel b). The level of conservation among these four riboswitch aptamers suggests that a compound could be designed to bind to all of them. Thus, although none of the genes associated with this riboswitch have been identified as individually essential for survival (47), the combined effect of suppressing expression of all of the associated genes may impede purine metabolism and transport sufficiently to be deleterious to bacterial replication or viability.

To test whether simultaneously repressing all of the guanine riboswitch-controlled genes would be deleterious to B. subtilis, we systematically deleted all of these transcriptional units, except for the pur operon. For this operon, the region of the genome encompassing the promoter and the riboswitch element was replaced with a xylose-inducible promoter (Pxyl) (35, 48). In the absence of xylose, the growth rate of this conditional knockout strain (Figure 1, panel c) in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium was reduced compared to that of a wild-type strain, demonstrating that repression of the guanine riboswitch-controlled genes indeed results in slower growth. Under these growth conditions, quantitative reverse transcription and polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) amplification of the pur operon transcript revealed that a low level of transcript is present in the population of cells even in the absence of xylose (data not shown). This suggests that complete growth inhibition was not observed due to the low level of pur operon expression, which would maintain a low level of de novo purine biosynthesis.

Repeated attempts to delete the *pur* operon entirely in a *xpt-pbuX*, *pbuG*, *nupG* triple knockout strain were unsuccessful, suggesting that the simultaneous repression of all of these gene products may completely inhibit bacterial growth. These observations are consistent with our hypothesis that a novel compound designed to selectively target guanine riboswitches could inhibit *B. subtilis* growth. However, it is important to note that guanine-mediated repression of gene expression by purine riboswitch action may still permit low amounts of gene expression (*37*, *42*), and therefore our gene deletion experiments may not perfectly mimic the cellular effects of analogues that trigger riboswitch action.

Guanine Analogues Are Bound by Guanine Riboswitch Aptamers. Guanine riboswitch aptamers fold to form a three-stem junction from which the three base-paired stems P1, P2, and P3 radiate (*37*) (Figure 1,





panel a). For the *xpt-pbuX* riboswitch from *B. subtilis* (hereafter termed the xpt riboswitch), the formation of the P1 helix is stabilized by the binding of guanine, allowing a terminator structure to form in the expression platform. In the absence of ligand, the 3' shoulder of P1 can base pair with a region of the expression platform to form an anti-terminator hairpin, thereby preventing the formation of the terminator and allowing transcription of the open reading frame (ORF) to proceed. Many nucleotides within the two hairpin loops L2 and L3 are highly conserved, and the loops interact both in the presence or absence of ligand to aid the process of ligand recognition (43, 44). Similarly, most of the nucleotides in the junction region of the aptamer are highly conserved and are also involved in ligand recognition. When the ligand is absent, the nucleotides of the junction region are relatively disordered, whereas in the presence of guanine these nucleotides assemble into a tight binding pocket (37–39).

The three-dimensional structure of the *xpt* riboswitch aptamer from *B. subtilis* bound to guanine illustrates that the ligand is almost completely enveloped by nucleotides of the aptamer core (Figure 2, panel a) (*38, 39*). The aptamer makes several contacts with the ligand, and seven intermolecular hydrogen bonds are formed. The relative binding affinities for numerous guanine analogues are consistent with this model for intimate ligand recognition (*37*). Notably, one of the cytosine residues of the riboswitch junction region (C74) (Figure 1, panel a) forms a Watson–Crick pairing interaction with the guanine ligand. Mutation of C74 to a uridine residue renders the riboswitch unresponsive to guanine (*49*), reflecting its crucial role in ligand recognition.

Examination of the three-dimensional structure of the *xpt* aptamer also reveals two regions of the binding pocket wherein functional group modifications of guanine should be accommodated without large adverse effects on the binding interaction. These regions surround the C2 and C6 positions of the guanine ligand (Figure 2, panel b). We therefore predicted that additional functional groups could be added to C2 or C6 with minimal deleterious effects on ligand binding. To test this hypothesis, a collection of C2- or C6-modified guanine analogues were obtained or synthesized (Figure 3, panel a) and their ability to bind to the riboswitch and inhibit bacterial growth was assessed (Figure 3, panel b).

In-line probing assays (50) were performed with the *xpt* aptamer to assess the changes caused by these ana-



Figure 3. Guanine analogue design and the binding characteristics of guanine analogues by the *xpt-pbuX* riboswitch *in vitro*. a) Guanine analogue structures and K_D values. The 16 guanine analogues are separated into two groups depending on whether chemical changes are made to the C2 or C6 position. b) PAGE analysis of the products of an in-line probing assay with the *xpt* aptamer (Figure 1, panel a). NR, T1, and ⁻OH designate 5' ³²P-labeled RNAs subjected to no reaction, partial digest with RNase T1, or partial digestion with alkali, respectively. RNAs were incubated with G7 concentrations ranging from 0 to 1 μ M, where (–) indicates incubation without ligand. Arrowheads identify several bands corresponding to nuclease cleavage after G residues (T1 lane) as designated according to the numbering system used in Figure 1, panel a. Regions 1–4 denote areas of structural modulation within the aptamer when ligand is bound.

logues on the structure of the aptamer and to derive the apparent dissociation constant (K_D) for analogue binding. In-line probing assays exploit the fact that internucleotide linkages in unstructured regions of RNA usually undergo more rapid spontaneous internal phosphoester transfer compared to linkages in structured regions. Internal phosphoester transfer involving nucleophilic attack by a 2'-oxygen atom on the adjoining phosphorus center results in chain cleavage. As the secondary or tertiary structures of a riboswitch aptamer change with addition of ligand, some internucleotide linkages change both their structural context and their susceptibility to spontaneous cleavage. The differences in RNA degradation products can be visualized *via* polyacrylamide agarose gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and evaluated to determine the locations of RNA shape changes and to estimate K_D values for ligand-RNA complexes (50, 51).

An in-line probing assay using the *xpt* aptamer (Figure 1, panel a) from *B. subtilis* was used to assess whether each guanine analogue at a concentration of 1 μ M causes modulation of aptamer structure. Indeed, the majority of the analogues induce changes in spontaneous cleavage of the *xpt* aptamer to yield a pattern of RNA cleavage products similar to that observed when guanine is added to the reaction (data not shown). Even compounds that induce little or no structural modulation at 1 μ M exhibit binding at higher ligand concentrations (Figure 3, panel a). Equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) values for the analogues were determined by



Figure 4. Identification of guanine analogues that inhibit cell growth and repress reporter gene expression. a) Growth inhibition by guanine analogues. Each guanine analogue was added to B. subtilis cultures to a final concentration of 100 μ M, and the relative growth compared to that observed with no added analogue (-) was determined after incubation for 10 h at 37 °C. The average growth for three independent cultures is shown, wherein open and filled bars identify analogues that inhibit bacterial growth less than or greater than 50%, respectively. Error bars represent the standard deviation between three independent assays. b) Plots comparing the K_D and MIC values for three guanine analogues. The MIC values for G6, G7, and G15 MIC (GMM) and MIC (LB) values were established using the wild-type 1A1 B. subtilis strain. MIC (G6-resistant strain, GMM) values were established using a 1A1 B. subtilis variant that was generated to exhibit resistance to G6 (the variant carrying the near complete deletion of the terminator stem of the pbuE riboswitch; see Figure 5). MIC values for G7 and G15 in rich medium were not detected even at 1 mM, as represented by the > symbol. c,d) Plots of β-galactosidase expression for the wild-type and mutant (M1; see Methods) xpt-pbuX constructs normalized to the level of expression observed without added ligand. The xpt-pbuX guanine riboswitch was placed upstream of a lacZ reporter gene in a wild-type B. subtilis strain, and each compound was added to this strain at 75% of the MIC for the compound, or 200 µM in the case of guanine. The annotations (-) and gua designate no added ligand and guanine, respectively. A normalized value of 1 equals 45 Miller units for the wild-type xpt-pbuX strain and 27 Miller units for the mutant xpt-pbuX strain.

conducting in-line probing assays at various concentrations of each compound as depicted for G7 (Figure 3, panel b). K_D values observed range from 500 pM to 3.3 μ M, with one of the analogues (G4) exhibiting better binding affinity for the riboswitch than guanine ($K_D \sim 5$ nM) (37). These findings indicate that new chemical groups can be added at the C2 and C6 positions of guanine without completely disrupting the RNA-ligand binding interaction, and in some instances can improve binding.

Inhibition of Bacterial Growth by Riboswitch-Binding Guanine Analogues. Each of the compounds tested for guanine riboswitch binding was assessed for the ability to inhibit bacterial growth. *B. subtilis* cultures were grown for 10 h at 37 °C in glucose-minimal medium (GMM) in the presence of 100 μ M of each compound separately (Figure 4, panel a). Under these conditions, five of the analogues cause greater than 50% inhibition of cell growth as measured by OD₆₀₀. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (52) revealed that G6, G7, and G15 completely inhibit growth for 24 h in GMM when concentrations of analogue are at or above the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) (Figure 4, panel b). Although G6 exhibits an MIC in the low micromolar range (3.9 µM), the MICs for G7 and G15 are relatively high (260 and 500 µM, respectively) compared to commonly used antibacterial agents (52). Moreover, the MICs for G6, G7, and G15 are higher in a rich medium (LB). These findings indicate that exogenous nutrients present in rich medium, which include natural purine metabolic intermediates, can offset the effects of these antibacterial purine analogues. Compounds G2 and G14 failed to completely inhibit B. subtilis growth at analogue concentrations up to 1 mM (data not



Figure 5. Sequence and secondary structure of the *pbuE* adenine riboswitch in *B. subtilis*. In the absence of adenine, the riboswitch assembles a transcription terminator stem (shown in the box above). Lines from the box to the *pbuE* secondary structure designate the beginning and end points of the nucleotides shown in the box. The red nucleotides depict the deletion mutation found in one G6-resistant strain. The blue circles each represent one G6-resistant mutant strain in which the corresponding point mutation was found.

shown), despite the fact that they caused more than 50% inhibition of cell growth at 100 μM (Figure 4, panel a).

Interestingly, many of the analogues, most notably G4 and G11, do not repress growth even though in-line probing assays indicate that these compounds can bind relatively tightly to a guanine riboswitch aptamer. There are several possible explanations for these observations. For example, these analogues may not enter bacterial cells to yield a concentration that is sufficient to inhibit riboswitch-mediated gene expression. If the compounds do enter cells by diffusion or by the action of purine transporters, they may be actively removed from cells by transporter protein activity (see further discussion below). Alternatively, compounds that do enter cells may be chemically modified such that the derivative compounds are no longer recognized by guanine riboswitches. Similarly, the compounds may be converted to natural intermediates in the purine metabolic pathway. In this case, repression of riboswitchcontrolled genes may be irrelevant if the analogue serves as a source for purine intermediates.

Gene regulation assays were performed to determine whether G6, G7, and G15 can repress the expression of a reporter gene controlled by a guanine riboswitch in *B. subtilis*. The 5' UTR of the B. subtilis xpt-pbuX gene, including the endogenous promoter and riboswitch, was cloned upstream of a *lacZ* reporter gene, and the resulting construct was transformed into the B. subtilis amyE locus. A reporter construct in which the riboswitch is rendered incapable of regulating expression by mutation was also prepared. This construct carries three mutations that disrupt the P1 secondary structure element of the riboswitch aptamer and prevent guanine binding (construct M1 in ref 37). Reporter activity was then measured after adding each guanine analogue at a concentration near its MIC.

β-Galactosidase expression in the wildtype reporter strain is repressed by the addition of 200 μ M guanine to the medium (Figure 4, panel c), whereas no repression is observed with the mutant strain under these conditions (Figure 4, panel d). In con-

trast, the addition of 3 μ M G6 (75% of the MIC) does not repress reporter gene expression, even though bacterial growth is substantially slowed. Since the measured K_D for G6 *in vitro* is 3.3 μ M, perhaps this analogue does not accumulate inside bacteria at a sufficiently high concentration to trigger riboswitch function. More importantly, since G6 does not repress reporter gene expression at concentrations where growth inhibition occurs, its antimicrobial mechanism is probably not related to targeting guanine riboswitches.

At a concentration slightly below its MIC, G15 does reduce β -galactosidase expression by \sim 34%. However, repression is reduced to a similar extent in the strain containing an inactive riboswitch mutant, implying that reporter gene repression is not caused by G15-triggered riboswitch function. As with G6, G15 may inhibit bacterial growth through a mechanism that does not involve guanine riboswitches.

In contrast, significant repression of β -galactosidase activity occurs when the guanine analogue G7 is added to the growth medium at concentrations near its MIC. Moreover, little repression is observed with the reporter strain carrying an inactive riboswitch variant, indicating that repression of reporter gene expression by

shëmical

G7 is likely mediated by the adjoining guanine riboswitch.

Analysis of B. subtilis Strains That Overcome Inhibition by Guanine Analogues. In an effort to further understand the mechanisms by which G6, G7, and G15 inhibit growth, we sought to generate B. subtilis variants resistant to each analogue. Wild-type B. subtilis strains were grown in the presence of the compounds at concentrations near their respective MICs, and serial inoculations of media with increasing analogue concentrations were performed to generate resistant mutants. Using this method, attempts to generate G7- and G15resistant B. subtilis mutant strains were unsuccessful because G7 and G15 become insoluble at concentrations only slightly higher than their respective MICs. However, four G6-resistant strains were isolated. The MICs were determined to be 125 μ M for each of these mutant strains, corresponding to a 30-fold increase relative to the wild-type strain (Figure 4, panel b).

All four guanine riboswitches in each of the G6resistant strains were sequenced and found to have no mutations. This finding is consistent with our data showing that G6 fails to suppress a reporter gene controlled by a guanine riboswitch (Figure 4, panel c). As a control, we also sequenced the analogous adenine riboswitch (49) located upstream of the *pbuE* (formerly called *ydhL*) gene of the resistant B. subtilis strains. Adenine riboswitches form the same global aptamer architecture as do guanine riboswitches, but the RNA carries a key sequence difference in the ligand binding core that changes its specificity from guanine to adenine (37, *39, 49*). Therefore, we initially expected that the adenine riboswitch would be far less likely than guanine riboswitches to acquire mutations that rendered bacteria resistant to guanine analogues. Surprisingly, each of the G6-resistant strains carried a mutation within the terminator stem of the expression platform for the pbuE adenine riboswitch (Figure 5).

In *B. subtilis*, the *pbuE* adenine riboswitch acts as a genetic ON switch that activates gene expression in response to adenine binding (*49*). Ligand binding by the riboswitch results in expression of a purine efflux pump that has been implicated in protection against toxic purine analogues (*46*). Among the four G6-resistant mutants, three possess distinct individual mutations within the *pbuE* riboswitch terminator, while the other mutant contains a large deletion that nearly eliminates the entire terminator stem (Figure 5). All of the single-

nucleotide mutations are predicted to weaken terminator stem formation, which should favor activation of *pbuE* expression even in the absence of ligand binding by the adenine aptamer. Likewise, the loss of the terminator stem should maximally activate *pbuE* gene expression. These findings suggest that these mutants gain resistance to G6 by overexpressing a purine pump that rids the cell of the toxic guanine analogue.

Interestingly, the large terminator stem deletion observed in this study has also been reported in another *B. subtilis* mutant resistant to the toxic purine analogue 2-fluoroadenine (46), suggesting that *B. subtilis* cells may be particularly susceptible to this precise deletion mutation. In this regard it is notable that the five nucleotides immediately preceding the deleted segment (CAGGA) are identical in sequence to the last five nucleotides of the deleted segment. This sequence arrangement suggests that a DNA slippage event during DNA replication (53) may be unusually favorable in *B. subtilis*, leading us to identify a variant bacterium that is identical to a previously reported variant that is known to resist inhibitory purine analogues (46).

Using the resistance generation method described above, we also isolated G6-resistant bacteria beginning with a *B. subtilis* strain wherein the *pbuE* gene was disrupted. The resulting G6-resistant bacteria still do not carry mutations within any of the guanine riboswitch regions, again suggesting that the antimicrobial effect of G6 does not involve riboswitch binding. Although we did not further pursue mapping of resistance mutations, one possible target of G6 is bacterial DNA polymerase Illc, since several other C2-benzylated nucleobases are known to block DNA replication by inhibiting this enzyme (*54*).

G7 May Be Targeting Guanine Riboswitches in *B. subtilis.* G7 is the only analogue examined in this study that binds to a guanine riboswitch aptamer *in vitro*, inhibits *B. subtilis* growth, and represses expression of a reporter gene that is controlled by a guanine riboswitch. In addition, the MIC values for G7 in all of the G6-resistant strains generated from either wild-type cells (Figure 4, panel b) or from cells carrying the *pbuE* deletion (data not shown) are no different from the MIC established for wild-type. These results could be rationalized if G7 is not recognized by the PbuE transporter in G6-resistant cells carrying mutations to the *pbuE* adenine riboswitch. Furthermore, mutations in the *pbuE* deletion strain that render cells resistant to G6 must



Figure 6. Plot depicting β -galactosidase expression versus increasing concentrations of G7. The arrow depicts the concentration at which gene expression is approximately zero, which also corresponds to the MIC.

also have no effect on the mechanism for G7 activity, which could be explained if G7 indeed inhibits bacterial growth by binding riboswitches.

To further investigate the action of G7, various concentrations of G7 were added to media inoculated with the *B. subtilis* strain carrying the *xpt* riboswitch fused to a *lacZ* reporter gene as described earlier. We found that the concentration of G7 needed to fully repress reporter gene expression was 260 μ M (Figure 6), which is the same as the concentration required for complete growth inhibition (Figure 4, panel b). This finding suggests that G7 may be inhibiting bacterial growth by binding to guanine riboswitches and repressing purine biosynthesis and/or transport genes.

The concentration of 260 μ M G7 in the medium required to fully bring about cell growth inhibition and gene repression is more than 1000-fold higher than the K_D value measured for this compound binding to the *xpt* riboswitch aptamer (Figure 3, panel a). However, there are several possible explanations for this difference. For example, it is not known if the concentration of G7 added to the medium accurately reflects the concentration of the compound in bacteria. The cell could restrict entry of the compound, expel the compound if it enters the cell, or chemically modify the compound to change its ability to affect riboswitch function.

There are also several reasons why the function of the riboswitch may cause the difference between the values for MIC and K_D . For example, K_D values for aptamers are typically conducted with the aptamers in isolation from their adjoining expression platforms or other flanking nucleotides. Minimal aptamer constructs are likely to explore fewer alternative folding conformers

that may involve nucleotides critical for ligand binding. Indeed, some aptamer constructs carrying flanking regions have been shown to have weaker ligand binding affinities compared to their minimized variants (e.g., see refs 22 and 55). Given that the riboswitch RNA is being actively transcribed during the time it is sensing its ligand and controlling gene expression, the actual $K_{\rm D}$ value may vary with the elongation state of the 5' UTR. Furthermore, it has been shown that some riboswitches function as kinetically driven switches, rather than reaching thermodynamic equilibrium (40, 55-58). For riboswitches that terminate transcription using intrinsic terminator stems, such as the xpt representative, the concentration of ligand needed to efficiently trigger riboswitch function may be much higher than the $K_{\rm D}$ value measured in vitro.

Conclusions. Our survey of the functions of 16 guanine analogues designed to bind guanine riboswitches reveals several important issues that may be considered by those who seek to create antimicrobial compounds that target riboswitches. Like many other riboswitch classes, guanine riboswitches carry a well-conserved aptamer that is very specific for its ligand. The RNA almost entirely engulfs guanine and uses every non-carbon atom on the purine ring as a point of recognition. This creates a binding pocket that discriminates against a great diversity of purine analogues (*37*). Despite the selectivity of the aptamer's binding pocket, we have made use of the atomic-resolution structures of guanine riboswitch aptamers (*38, 39*) to create a series of analogues that are biased in favor of tight binding.

It is likely that analogues could be designed that bind even more tightly to the aptamer by taking advantage of new interactions between the analogue and functional groups of the aptamer that reside near the natural binding pocket. New tight-binding analogues also could be created that take advantage of structural rearrangements at the binding site, although these movements and interactions would be more difficult to predict only using the static structures derived from X-ray data. Even analogues more closely related to pyrimidines have been shown to be bound by a guanine riboswitch (*59*). These findings demonstrate that considerable chemical space has yet to be explored in the search for compounds that may bind and trigger guanine riboswitch function.

Similar rational design strategies should be applicable to other riboswitch classes when atomicresolution structures become available. Currently, the three-dimensional structures of nine major classes of metabolite-binding riboswitches have been published (23–25, 34, 38, 39, 60–69). Some of these riboswitches control essential genes or multiple genes in various bacterial species, making them intriguing potential antibacterial targets (21). The availability of these atomic-resolution structures should allow researchers to assess space constraints within the binding pockets (70) and to identify analogues of the natural ligands that should retain binding and riboswitch triggering activities.

Although our collection of compounds is enriched for riboswitch binding activity *in vitro*, only about a third of the compounds are able to inhibit bacterial growth. In *B. subtilis*, guanine riboswitches control a collection of genes (Figure 1, panel b) that together appear essential for robust bacterial growth (Figure 1, panel c). Therefore, the low correspondence between riboswitch binding and bacterial growth inhibition is unlikely to be due to a problem with *B. subtilis* guanine riboswitches as targets for antimicrobial compounds. Rather, many other factors such as bioavailability and stability of the compound are likely involved in determining whether a riboswitch-binding analogue will repress bacterial growth.

On the basis of MICs, the two compounds that are most effective at inhibiting bacterial growth are G6 and G7. Unfortunately, G6 does not repress the expression of a guanine riboswitch-controlled reporter gene in *B. subtilis* when the compound is present near its MIC. Therefore, G6 likely inhibits cell growth by a mechanism that does not involve guanine riboswitch binding. In

contrast, G7 strongly represses guanine riboswitchcontrolled reporter gene expression at a concentration that matches its MIC. However, this observation alone does not provide conclusive proof that the antibacterial activity of G7 is mediated exclusively by its action on guanine riboswitches. It is possible that G7 inhibits bacterial growth by associating with other targets in bacteria, or perhaps these other targets work synergistically with riboswitch-mediated gene inhibition to prevent bacterial growth.

G7 (6-N-hydroxylaminopurine) is a known mutagen in certain bacteria, as well as some yeast and mammalian cells (71, 72). It is thought that G7 can be converted to deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate in cells and thus become incorporated into DNA during replication (73). However, many organisms (including some bacteria) are able to protect themselves against the effects of the compound (73, 74). G7 has low potency in GMM and this potency decreases in rich medium (LB). Although the action of G7 suggests that guanine riboswitches can be made to serve as targets for antibacterial agents, new guanine analogues must be examined to create compounds that exhibit more drug-like properties. B. subtilis is not known to cause disease, and therefore the efficacy of antimicrobial compounds that target riboswitches in pathogenic bacteria should be evaluated to further ascertain clinical relevance. Even though guanine riboswitch distribution is not widespread in pathogens, the presence of representatives of this riboswitch class in key pathogens such as *B. anthracis* and *S. aureus* may offer opportunities to employ drugs that target this riboswitch class.

METHODS

Guanine Analogues. G4 was purchased from TCI Inc. G10 was purchased from Cheminpharma. G1 (*75*) and G11 (*76*) were synthesized as described previously. Other purine analogues were synthesized from 2-bromohypoxanthine or 2-amino-6-chloropurine precursors (Sigma-Aldrich) using methods similar to those described previously (*76*). Specifically, halopurine (2-bromohypoxanthine or 2-amino-6-chloropurine, 1 mmol) and the corresponding amine or hydrazine (3 mmol) were heated at 95 °C for 3 h in either dry methoxyethanol (G2, G3, G7, G8, G9, G14, G15, and G16) or methoxylethanol/water 3:1 (G5, G6, G12, G13, G16, and G17). After cooling to RT, each reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered through a scintered glass funnel to give the product, which was subsequently washed with ethyl acetate, water, and ethanol.

Guanine analogues were purified using an Agilent Technologies 1200 series HPLC with an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5 μ m; 250 mm length and 9.4 mm internal diameter) using a gra-

dient of water/acetonitrile/trifluoroacetic acid (95:5:0.01 v/v/v) to acetonitrile/trifluoroacetic acid (100:0.01 v/v). The purity of each compound was confirmed as greater than 95% by analytical HPLC with an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5 µm; 75 mm length and 4.6 mm internal diameter) using a gradient of acetonitrile in water with 0.01% trifluoroacetic acid and detection at 254 and 210 nm wavelengths. Compounds typically eluted between 5% and 25% acetonitrile. The identities of the compounds were confirmed with ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy. (G1) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.13 (s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_6H_3F_3N_4O$ 204.0259, found (MH+) 205.0486. (G2) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 3.69 (s, 3H), 8.31 (s, 1H), 11.5 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (EI) calculated for $C_6H_7N_5O_2$ 181.0600, found (M+) 181.0602. (G3) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 4.88 (s, 3H), 7.25-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.43-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.82 (br s, 1H), 9.90 (br, s, 1H), 11.05 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_{12}H_{11}N_5O_2$ 257.0913, found (M - H) 256.0833. (G5) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 2.51 (s, 3H),

5.01 (br, s, 2H), 7.89 (br, s, 1H), 9.91 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for C₆H₈N₆O 180.0760, found (MH+) 181.0830. (G6) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 6.73-6.77 (m, 3H), 7.15-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.87 (br, s, 1H), 8.20 (br, s, 1H), 8.90 (br, s, 1H), 10.90 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_{11}H_{10}N_6O$ 242.0916, found $(M - H)^{-}$ 241.0836. (G7) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 6.50 (s, 2H), 7.69 (br, s, 1 H), 9.66 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_5H_6N_6O$ 166.0603, found (M - H)⁻ 165.0520. (G8) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 3.77 (s, 3H), 6.81 (br, s, 2H), 7.89 (br, s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for C₆H₈N₆O 180.0760, found (M - H)⁻ 179.0688. (G9) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 4.83 (s, 2H), 7.09-7.34 (m, 7H), 7.80 (br, s, 1H), 10.35 (br, 1H), 13.00 (br, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_{12}H_{12}N_6O$ 256.1073, found (MH+) 257.3022. (G10) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 5.80 (br, S, 2H), 6.60 (d, 2H), 7.70-7.80 (d, 3H), 9.0 (s, 1H), 9.15 (S, 1H), 12.2 (br, S, 1H); LRMS (ES) calculated for C₁₁H₁₀N₆O: 242.1, found (MH+) 243.8. (G11) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D_2O) δ 7.56 (s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_5H_7N_7$ 165.0763, found (MH+) 166.2352. (G12) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 3.44 (s, 3H), 6.53 (br, s, 2H), 7.90 (s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_6H_{10}N_7$ 180.0998, found (MH+) 181.0974. (G13) ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 5.2 (s, 2H) 7.25-7.31 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.36 (m. 3H), 7.91 (br s, 1H); HRMS (EI) calculated for C₁₂H₁₃N₇ 255.1232, found (M+) 255.1230. (G14) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 6.48 (s, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (t, / = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, 2H), 8.01 (s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for $C_{11}H_{11}N_7$ 241.1076, found (M - H)⁻ 240.1000. (G15) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 3.79 (s, 3H), 6.98-7.01 (m, 2H), 7.52 (br, s, 1H), 7.72-7.76 (m, 2H), 8.26 (S, 1H), 10.45 (s, 1H); HRMS (EI) calculated for $C_{12}H_{12}N_6O$ 256.1073, found (M+) 256.1072. (G16) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 3.00 (t, *J* = 4 Hz, 4H), 3.72 (t, J = 4 Hz, 4H), 6.80 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 8.84 (s, 1H), 12.13 (s, 1H); HRMS (ES) calculated for C₁₅H₁₇N₇O 311.1495, found (MH+) 312.1862.

B. subtilis Gene Knockouts and Growth Assays. Four plasmid constructs were prepared *via* double-crossover to disrupt expression of the four guanine riboswitch-controlled genes (or operons) in *B.* subtilis. Regions homologous to fragments of *nupG*, *pbuG*, *xpt-pbuX*, and the *pur* operon were placed into pDG647, a modified pMXL3 (spectinomycin resistant marker, no xylose-inducible promoter) (*35*), pDG1515, and pMXL3, respectively. These four vectors were systematically transformed into *B.* subtilis strain 1A1, and in most cases this transformation integrated an antibiotic resistance cassette into the *B.* subtilis genome in place of the targeted gene. In the case of pMXL3, the entire *pur* operon was left intact but placed under a xylose-inducible promoter.

Overnight cultures (in LB) of this strain and a 1A1 wild-type strain were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in Mueller-Hinton broth (2 g L⁻¹ beef extract, 17.5 g L⁻¹ casein hydrolysate, 1.5 g L⁻¹ starch) and grown for 1 h. The cultures were centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 rpm, and pellets were resuspended and diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.3 in chemically defined glucose-minmal medium (GMM) (0.5% w/v glucose, 2 g L⁻¹ (NH₄)₂SO₄, 14 g L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 6 g L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 1 g L⁻¹ sodium citrate, 0.2 g L⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O, 5 μ M MnCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 μ g mL⁻¹ tryptophan). In a 96-well plate, 50 μ L of culture was added to 50 μ L of GMM. The entire plate was sealed with breathable film (USA Scientific) and incubated at 37 °C with shaking. The absorbance was measured at 600 nm every hour for 13 h to evaluate bacterial growth.

In-Line Probing. A 90 nucleotide *xpt-pbuX* RNA construct encompassing the guanine riboswitch aptamer (Figure 1, panel a) was synthesized using a DNA template obtained from colony PCR of *B. subtilis* strain 1A1. *In vitro* transcription followed by desphosphorylation and 5' ³²P labeling was conducted as described previously (*32*) to produce RNA for in-line probing with

different guanine analogues. Denaturing (8 M urea) 10% PAGE followed by analysis using a Molecular Dynamics Phosphorimager were used to establish RNA spontaneous cleavage patterns. In-line probing with increasing concentrations of each analogue was used to assess binding affinities. The amount of RNA structural modulation was quantified as described previously (32) to estimate K_0 values.

Antibacterial Assays. To measure the inhibitory effects of guanine analogues on bacterial growth, an overnight culture of B. subtilis 168 strain 1A1 in GMM was diluted by a factor of 100 and supplemented with the indicated analogue at a final concentration of 100 $\mu\text{M}.$ After growing for 10 h at 37 °C with shaking, OD₆₀₀ of the culture was measured and compared to that of the control culture where the medium was supplemented with only the corresponding solvent for the analogue. The solvent was 100:5 dimethyl sulfoxide/10 N NaOH (v/v) for G11 and was dimethyl sulfoxide for all other compounds. The MIC of each guanine analogue was determined by growing a 1A1 B. subtilis strain overnight in LB, then diluting the culture into an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1 in LB or GMM as noted. Guanine analogue was added at various concentrations, the absorbance at 600 nm was monitored over time, and the MIC was calculated using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (77).

Reporter Gene Assays. A construct encompassing the entire *xpt-pbuX* guanine riboswitch or the M1 version of the riboswitch (*37*) was placed in a pDG1661 plasmid upstream of a β -galactosidase reporter gene. This vector was transformed into the *amyE* locus of *B. subtilis* strain 1A1, and β -galactosidase expression after the addition of various guanine analogues was measured using standard Miller assays as previously described (*31*).

Generation of G6-Resistant Mutants. *B. subtilis* strain 1A1 was grown with shaking at 37 °C in GMM with G6 added at the MIC (3.9 μ M). When saturation of growth was reached, 10 mL culture was transferred into a culture tube containing 1 mL GMM with G6 added to a final concentration that was 2-fold above the MIC (7.8 μ M). This procedure was conducted four times, with the G6 concentration doubling with each serial passage, so that the final G6 concentration was 62.4 μ M. The culture was plated onto LB, and DNA from the resulting colonies was isolated and used as a template to amplify the four guanine riboswitches and one adenine riboswitch found in *B. subtilis*. The resulting amplicons were sequenced to determine whether mutations were present. This experiment was repeated four times to discover several different mutations.

Acknowledgment: We thank members of the Breaker laboratory for helpful discussions. This work was supported by NIH award U54AI57158 (Northeast Biodefense Center—Lipkin) and by NIH grants (R33 DK07027 and GM 068819) to R.R.B. J.N.K. is a recipient of a predoctoral fellowship from the National Science Foundation. Research in the Breaker laboratory is also supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. K.F.B. and R.R.B. are cofounders of BioRelix, a company that is pursuing intellectual property related to the use of riboswitches as drug targets.

REFERENCES

- Walsh, C. (2000) Molecular mechanisms that confer antibacterial drug resistance, *Nature 406*, 775–781.
- Theuretzbacher, U., and Toney, J. H. (2006) Nature's clarion call of antibacterial resistance: are we listening? *Curr. Opin. Invest. Drugs* 7, 158–166.
- 3. Wolfson, W. (2006) Holding back the tide of antibiotic resistance, *Chem. Biol.* 13, 1–3.
- Levy, S. B. (1998) The challenge of antibiotic resistance, *Sci. Am.* 275, 46–53.

- D'Costa, V. M., McGrann, K. M., Hughes, D. W., and Wright, G. D. (2006) Sampling the antibiotic resistome, *Science* 311, 374–377.
- Bermingham, A., and Derrick, J. P. (2002) The folic acid biosynthesis pathway in bacteria: evaluation of potential for antibacterial drug discovery, *Bioessays* 24, 637–648.
- Koch, A. L. (2003) Bacterial wall as target for attack: past, present, and future research, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 16, 673–687.
- Maxwell, A. (1997) DNA gyrase as a drug target, *Trends Microbiol.* 5, 102–109.
- Poehlsgaard, J., and Douthwaite, S. (2005) The bacterial ribosome as a target for antibiotics, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3, 870–881.
- Majdalani, N., Vanderpool, C. K., and Gottesman, S. (2005) Bacterial small RNA regulators, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 40, 93–113.
- 11. Mandal, M., and Breaker, R. R. (2004) Gene regulation by riboswitches, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 5, 451–463.
- Soukup, J. K., and Soukup, G. A. (2004) Riboswitches exert genetic control through metabolite-induced conformational change, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 14, 344–349.
- Winkler, W. C., and Breaker, R. R. (2005) Regulation of bacterial gene expression by riboswitches, *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 59, 487–517.
- Coppins, R. L., Hall, K. B., and Groisman, E. A. (2007) The intricate world of riboswitches, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 10, 176–181.
- Montange, R. K., and Batey, R. T. (2008) Riboswitches: emerging themes in RNA structure and function, *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 37, 117– 133.
- Sudarsan, N., Barrick, J. E., and Breaker, R. R. (2003) Metabolitebinding RNA domains are present in the genes of eukaryotes, *RNA* 9, 644–647.
- Bocobza, S., Adato, A., Mandel, T., Shapira, M., Nudler, E., and Aharoni, A. (2007) Riboswitch-dependent gene regulation and its evolution in the plant kingdom, *Genes Dev.* 21, 2874–2879.
- Cheah, M. T., Wachter, A., Sudarsan, N., and Breaker, R. R. (2007) Control of alternative RNA splicing and gene expression by eukaryotic riboswitches, *Nature* 447, 497–500.
- Croft, M. T., Moulin, M., Webb, M. E., and Smith, A. G. (2007) Thiamine biosynthesis in algae is regulated by riboswitches, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 20770–20775.
- Wachter, A., Tunc-Ozdemir, M., Grove, B. C., Green, P. J., Shintani, D. K., and Breaker, R. R. (2007) Riboswitch control of gene expression in plants by splicing and alternative 3' end processing of mRNAs, *Plant Cell* 19, 3437–3450.
- 21. Blount, K. F., and Breaker, R. R. (2006) Riboswitches as antibacterial drug targets, *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1558–1564.
- Winkler, W., Nahvi, A., and Breaker, R. R. (2002) Thiamine derivatives bind messenger RNAs directly to regulate bacterial gene expression, *Nature* 419, 952–956.
- Edwards, T. E., and Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2006) Crystal structures of the thi-box riboswitch bound to thiamine pyrophosphate analogs reveal adaptive RNA-small molecule recognition, *Structure 14*, 1459–1468.
- Serganov, A., Polonskaia, A., Phan, A. T., Breaker, R. R., and Patel, D. J. (2006) Structural basis for gene regulation by a thiamine pyrophosphate-sensing riboswitch, *Nature* 441, 1167–1171.
- Thore, S., Leibundgut, M., and Ban, N. (2006) Structure of the eukaryotic thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitch with its regulatory ligand, *Science* 312, 1208–1211.
- 26. Robbins, W. J. (1941) The pyridine analog of thiamin and the growth of fungi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 27*, 419–422.
- Woolley, D. W., and White, A. G. C. (1943) Selective reversible inhibition of microbial growth with pyrithiamine, *J. Exp. Med.* 78, 489–497.
- Kawasaki, T., Sanemori, H., Egi, Y., Yoshida, S., and Yamada, K. (1976) Biochemical studies of pyrithiamine-resistant mutants of *Escherichia coli* K12, *J. Biochem.* 79, 1035–1042.

- Kubodera, T., Yamshita, N., and Nishimura, A. (2000) Pyrithiamine resistance gene (ptrA) of *Aspergillus oryzae*: cloning, characterization and application as a dominant selectable marker for transformation, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 64, 1416–1421.
- Sudarsan, N., Cohen-Chalamish, S., Nakamura, S., Emilsson, G. M., and Breaker, R. R. (2005) Thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitches are targets for the antimicrobial compound pyrithiamine, *Chem. Biol.* 12, 1325–1335.
- Sudarsan, N., Wickiser, J. K., Nakamura, S., Ebert, M. S., and Breaker, R. R. (2003) An mRNA structure in bacteria that controls gene expression by binding lysine, *Genes Dev.* 1, 2688–2697.
- Blount, K. F., Wang, J. X., Lim, J., Sudarsan, N., and Breaker, R. R. (2006) Antibacterial lysine analogs that target lysine riboswitches, *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 3, 44–49.
- Ataide, S. F., Wilson, S. N., Dang, S., Rogers, T. E., Roy, B., Banerjee, R., Henkin, T. M., and Ibba, M. (2007) Mechanisms of resistance to an amino acid antibiotic that targets translation, *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2, 819–827.
- Serganov, A., Huang, L., and Patel, D. J. (2009) Molecular insights into coenzyme recognition and gene regulation by a FMN riboswitch, *Nature* 458, 233–237.
- Lee, E. R., Blount, K. F., Breaker, R. R. (2009) Roseoflavin is a natural antibacterial compound that binds to FMN riboswitches and regulates gene expression. *RNA Biol.* Epub ahead of print, Apr 30.
- Ott, E., Stolz, J., Lehmann, M., and Mack, M. (2009) The RFN riboswitch of *Bacillus subtilis* is a target for the antibiotic roseoflavin produced by *Streptomyces davawensis*, *RNA Biol.* 6, 276–280.
- Mandal, M., Boese, B., Barrick, J. E., Winkler, W. C., and Breaker, R. R. (2003) Riboswitches control fundamental biochemical pathways in *Bacillus subtilis* and other bacteria, *Cell* 113, 577–586.
- Batey, R. T., Gilbert, S. D., and Montange, R. K. (2004) Structure of a natural guanine-responsive riboswitch complexed with the metabolite hypoxanthine, *Nature 432*, 411–415.
- Serganov, A., Yuan, Y. R., Pikovskaya, O., Polonskaia, A., Malinina, L., Phan, A. T., Hobartner, C., Micura, R., Breaker, R. R., and Patel, D. J. (2004) Structural basis for discriminative regulation of gene expression by adenine- and guanine-sensing mRNAs, *Chem. Biol.* 11, 1729–1741.
- Gilbert, S. D., Stoddard, C. D., Wise, S. J., and Batey, R. T. (2006) Thermodynamic and kinetic characterization of ligand binding to the purine riboswitch aptamer domain, *J. Mol. Biol.* 359, 754–768.
- Gilbert, S. D., Love, C. E., Edwards, A. L., and Batey, R. T. (2007) Mutational analysis of the purine riboswitch aptamer domain, *Biochemistry* 46, 13297–13309.
- Mulhbacher, J., and Lafontaine, D. A. (2007) Ligand recognition determinants of guanine riboswitches, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 5568– 5580.
- Stoddard, C. D., Gilbert, S. D., and Batey, R. T. (2008) Liganddependent folding of the three-way junction in the purine riboswitch, *RNA* 14, 675–684.
- Lemay, J. F., Penedo, J. C., Tremblay, R., Lilley, D. M., and Lafontaine, D. A. (2006) Folding of the adenine riboswitch, *Chem. Biol.* 13, 857–868.
- Barrick, J. E., and Breaker, R. R. (2007) The distributions, mechanisms, and structures of metabolite-binding riboswitches, *Genome Biol.* 8, R239.
- 46. Johansen, L. E., Nygaard, P., Lassen, C., Agerso, Y., and Saxild, H. H. (2003) Definition of a second *Bacillus subtilis pur* regulon comprising the *pur* and *xpt-pbuX* operons plus *pbuG*, *nupG* (*yxjA*), and *pbuE* (*ydhL*), *J. Bacteriol.* 185, 5200–5209.
- Kobayashi, K., et al. (2003) Essential Bacillus subtilis genes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 4678–4683.
- Meisenzahl, A. C., Shapiro, L., and Jenal, U. (1997) Isolation and characterization of a xylose-dependent promoter from *Caulobacter crescentus*, J. Bacteriol. 173, 592–600.

- Mandal, M., and Breaker, R. R. (2004) Adenine riboswitches and gene activation by disruption of a transcription terminator, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 11, 29–35.
- Soukup, G. A., and Breaker, R. R. (1999) Relationship between internucleotide linkage geometry and the stability of RNA, *RNA 5*, 1308 – 1325.
- Soukup, G. A., DeRose, E. C., Koizumi, M., and Breaker, R. R. (2001) Generating new ligand-binding RNAs by affinity maturation and disintegration of allosteric ribozymes, *RNA* 7, 524–536.
- Andrews, J. M. (2001) Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations, J. Antimicrob. Chemther. 48, 5–16.
- Gore, J. M., Ran, F. A., and Ornston, L. N. (2006) Deletion mutations caused by DNA strand slippage in *Acinetobacter baylyi*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5239–5245.
- Wright, G. E., Brown, N. C., Xy, W. C., Long, Z. Y., Zhi, C., Gambino, J. J., Barnes, M. H., and Butler, M. M. (2005) Active site directed inhibitors of replication-specific bacterial DNA polymerases, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 15, 729–732.
- Wickiser, J. K., Winkler, W. C., Breaker, R. R., and Crothers, D. M. (2005) The speed of RNA transcription and metabolite binding kinetics operate an FMN riboswitch, *Mol. Cell* 18, 49–60.
- Wickiser, J. K., Cheah, M. T., Breaker, R. R., and Crothers, D. M. (2005) The kinetics of ligand binding by an adenine-sensing riboswitch, *Biochemistry* 44, 13404–13414.
- Rieder, R., Lang, K., Graber, D., and Micura, R. (2007) Ligandinduced folding of the adenosine deaminase A-riboswitch and implications on riboswitch translational control, *ChemBioChem 8*, 896–902.
- Lang, K., Rieder, R., and Micura, R. (2007) Ligand-induced folding of the *thiM* TPP riboswitch investigated by a structure-based fluorescence spectroscopic approach, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 5370 – 5378.
- Gilbert, S. D., Mediatore, S. J., and Batey, R. T. (2006) Modified pyrimidines specifically bind purine the riboswitch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 128, 14214–14215.
- Montange, R. K., and Batey, R. T. (2006) Structure of the S-adenosylmethionine riboswitch regulatory element, *Nature 29*, 1172–1175.
- Klein, D. J., and Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2006) Structural basis of *glmS* ribozyme activation by glucosamine-6-phosphate, *Science 313*, 1752–1756.
- 62. Cochrane, J. C., Lipchock, S. V., and Strobel, S. A. (2007) Structural investigation of the GlmS ribozyme bound to its catalytic cofactor, *Chem. Biol.* 14, 97–105.
- Gilbert, S. D., Rambo, R. P., Van Ryne, D., and Batey, R. T. (2008) Structure of the SAM-II riboswitch bound to S-adenosylmethionine, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 177–182.
- Lu, C., Smith, A. M., Fuchs, R. T., Ding, F., Rajashankar, K., Henkin, T. M., and Ke, A. (2008) Crystal structures of the SAM-III/S(MK) riboswitch reveal the SAM-dependent translation inhibition mechanism, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 1076–1083.
- Garst, A. D., Heroux, A., Rambo, R. P., and Batey, R. T. (2008) Crystal structure of the lysine riboswitch regulatory mRNA element, J. Biol. Chem. 15, 22347–22351.
- Serganov, A., Huang, L., and Patel, D. J. (2008) Structural insights into amino acid binding and gene control by a lysine riboswitch, *Nature* 455, 1263–1267.
- Kline, D. J., Edwards, T. E., and Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2009) Cocrystal structure of a class I preQ₁ riboswitch reveals a pseudoknot recognizing an essential hybermodified nucleobase, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 16, 343–344.
- Kang, M., Peterson, R., and Feigon, J. (2009) Structural insights into riboswitch control of the biosynthesis of queuosine, a modified nucleotide found in the anticodon of tRNA, *Mol. Cell* 33, 784–790.

- Spitale, R. C., Torelli, A. T., Krucinska, J., Bandarian, V., and Wedekind, J. E. (2009) The structural basis for recognition of the preQ₀ metabolite by an unusually small riboswitch aptamer domain, *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 11012–11016.
- Edwards, T. E., Klein, D. J., and Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R. (2007) Riboswitches: small-molecule recognition by gene regulatory RNAs, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 17, 273–279.
- Barrett, J. C. (1981) Induction of gene mutation in and cell transformation of mammalian cells by modified purines: 2-aminopurine and 6-N-hydroxylaminopurine, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78*, 5685–5689.
- Pavlov, Y. I., Noskov, V. N., Lange, E. K., Moiseeva, E. V., Pshenichnov, M. R., and Khromov-Borisov, N. N. (1991) The genetic activity of N6-hydroxyadenine and 2-amino N6-hydroxyadenine in *Escherichia coli*, Salmonella typhimurium and Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Mutat. Res. 253, 33–46.
- 73. Kozmin, S. G., Schaaper, R. M., Shcherbakova, P. V., Kulikov, V. N., Noskov, V. N., Guetsova, M. L., Alenin, V. V., Rogozin, I. B., Makarova, K. S., and Pavlov, Y. I. (1997) Multiple antimutagenesis mechanisms affect mutagenic activity and specificity of the base analog 6-*N*-hydroxylaminopurine in bacteria and yeast, *Mutat. Res.* 402, 41–50.
- Kozmin, S. G., and Schaaper, R. M. (2007) Molybdenum cofactordependent resistance to *N*-hydroxylated base analogs in *Escherichia coli* is independent of MobA function, *Mutat. Res. 619*, 9–15.
- Giner-Sorolla, A., and Bendich, A. (1958) Fluorine-containing pyrimidines and purines: synthesis and properties of trifluoromethyl pyrimidines and purines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 80, 5744–5752.
- Wright, G. E., and Dudycz, L. W. (1984) Synthesis and characterization of N2-(p-n-butylphenyl)-2'-deoxyguanosine and its 5'triphosphate and their inhibition of HeLa DNA polymerase alpha, J. Med. Chem. 27, 175–181.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2006) Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically: Approved Standards: M7-A7, National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Wayne, PA.
- Saxild, H. H., and Nygaard, P. (1987) Genetic and physiological characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* mutants resistant to purine analogs, *J. Bacteriol.* 169, 2977–2983.
- 79. Saxild, H. H., Brunstedt, K., Nielsen, K. I., Jarmer, H., and Nygaard, P. (2001) Definition of the *Bacillus subtilis* PurR operator using genetic and bioinformatic tools and expansion of the PurR regulon with *glyAguaC*, *pbuG*, *xpt-pbuX*, *yqhZ-folD*, and *pbuO*, *J. Bacteriol*. *183*, 6175–6183.